

REMARKS

Claim 1 has been amended, and claims 2 and 3 have been canceled. Claims 1, 4 and 5 remain in the application.

In the Office action mailed 7/19/2005, the Examiner rejected claim 1 under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Best. In rejecting claim 1, the Examiner has apparently construed the upper part of Best's wall 27 of as being equivalent to applicant's "internal wall". Contrary to the Examiner's description of the Best club head, the upper part of wall 27 does not form an included angle with the back surface 15 of the club head. Fig. 2 of Best clearly shows that the included angle between the upper part of wall 27 and the back surface 15 is obtuse. Currently amended claim 1 includes the limitations of original claims 2 and 3. These limitations distinguish over Best by defining the internal wall as varying in its height dimension with the height dimension of the internal wall being greater at the second end, which is adjacent the body toe portion, than at the first end, which is adjacent the body heel portion. Fig. 1 of Best does not show the upper part of wall 27 as having these limitations. Therefore, currently amended claim 1 defines an invention that is not anticipated by or obvious over Best.

In view of the preceding remarks, applicant requests reconsideration and allowance of claims 1, 4 and 5.

Respectfully submitted,

Date

8-9-05


Darrell F. Marquette
Reg. No. 28,560